Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/920,927	IKEMASU ET AL.	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Howard Weiss	2814	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Howard Weiss.	(3)		
(2) Stephen G. Adrian.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 4/9/02.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: 1-19.62-Y			
Identification of prior art discussed: Hay have			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \bigcirc was reached. g) \bigcirc was not reached. h) \bigcirc N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).			
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	V. (See MPEP Section 713.04 EMONTH FROM THIS INTER). If a reply to the VIEW DATE TO	e last Office FILE A

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Asgreed do projosed amendants would over come most of the rejections. Will as to Sub mit snew

Art.